

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action

CR-24-0114-PR

JASON DARNELL GREGORY v HON. WASHBURN/STATE

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 25-Apr-2024 Archive on: 10-Sep-2034 (planned)
Case Closed: 10-Sep-2024

Dept/Composition

Side 1. JASON DARNELL GREGORY, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) JASON DARNELL GREGORY

- Jason Darnell Gregory PRO SE

Side 2. HON. DANIEL A. WASHBURN, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Pinal, Respondent Judge

(Litigant Group) HON. DANIEL A. WASHBURN, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Pinal

- Hon Daniel A Washburn, Pinal County Superior Court

Side 3. STATE OF ARIZONA, Real Party in Interest

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Real Party in Interest

Geraldine L Roll, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19334)

CASE STATUS

Sep 10, 2024....Case Closed

Sep 10, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-SA 24-0016				
PIN	S1100CR202302469		Daniel A Washburn, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

10-Sep-2024 ORDER

FURTHER ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed: 10-Sep-2024

Mandate:

A panel composed of Justice Brutinel, Justice Bolick, Justice Montgomery and Justice King participated in the determination of this matter.

Decision Disposition

Denied

8 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1. 25-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review (Petitioner Gregory, Pro Se)
2. 30-Apr-2024 Petitioner Gregory filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on April 25, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by May 15, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
3. 13-May-2024 FILED: Petition for Review (Petitioner Gregory, Pro Se)
4. 16-May-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Link to Electronic Record
5. 22-May-2024 FILED: Untitled Document (Treated as Exhibits) (Petitioner Gregory, Pro Se)
6. 28-May-2024 SENT: Letter to Petitioner Re: Copy

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action

CR-24-0114-PR

JASON DARNELL GREGORY v HON. WASHBURN/STATE

8 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

7. 15-Jul-2024 FILED: Motion to Consider New Evidence (Petitioner Gregory, Pro Se)
8. 10-Sep-2024 ORDERED: Motion to Consider New Evidence = DENIED.

FURTHER ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

A panel composed of Justice Brutinel, Justice Bolick, Justice Montgomery and Justice King participated in the determination of this matter.
